CMS II-2: Density Functional Theory (DFT) and local-density approximation (LDA)

Horng-Tay Jeng

(鄭弘泰)

Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica

Outline

- Density Functional Theory (DFT)
- local-density approximation (LDA)
- Exchange-correlation energy functional
- Limitations of LDA
- Attempts on improving LDA

Density Functional Theory (DFT)
Hohenberg-Kohn Theorem, PR136(1964)B864

- The ground-state energy of a system of identical fermions is a unique functional of the particle density.

- This functional attains its minimum value with respect to variation of the particle density subject to the normalization condition when the density has its correct values.

Hohenberg and Kohn

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_{ext}(\vec{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1(j \neq i)}^{N} \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|}$$

Suppose we know $V_{ext}(\vec{r})$, we can find $\Psi(\vec{r}_1, s_1, \vec{r}_2, s_2 \cdots, \vec{r}_N, s_N)$

$$n(\vec{r}_1) = \int dr_2^3 \int dr_2^3 \cdots \int dr_N^3 |\Psi(\vec{r}_1, s_1, \vec{r}_2, s_2 \cdots, \vec{r}_N, s_N)|^2$$

Theorem : The ground state properties of a many electron system are uniquely determined by its electron distribution *n(r)*.

➔ All ground state properties of the many electron system are functional of *n(r)*.

$$E_T[n], \quad T[n], \quad \cdots$$

Proof :

Suppose there are two $V_{ext}(\vec{r})$ (V_1 and V_2) have the same $n(r)$.

Suppose $V_1 \neq V_2 + \text{constant}$

$$\Psi_1 \neq \Psi_2 \qquad (H_1\Psi_1 = E_1\Psi_1 \quad ; H_2\Psi_2 = E_2\Psi_2)$$

Suppose the ground state is nondegenerate, then

$$\langle \Psi_1|H_1|\Psi_1\rangle < \langle \Psi_2|H_1|\Psi_2\rangle \qquad ; \quad H_1 = H_2 + V_1 - V_2 \quad \text{(H1-V1=H2-V2)}$$

$$E_1 < \langle \Psi_2|H_2|\Psi_2\rangle + \langle \Psi_2|V_1 - V_2|\Psi_2\rangle = E_2 + \int d^3r(V_1 - V_2)n(r)$$

$$\int dr_1^3 \cdots \int dr_N^3 \left(V_1(\vec{r_1}) - V_2(\vec{r_1})\right)|\Psi(\vec{r_1},s_1\cdots,\vec{r_N},s_N)|^2 = \int dr_1^3\left(V_1(\vec{r_1}) - V_2(\vec{r_1})\right)n(\vec{r_1})$$

$$E_2 < \langle \Psi_1|H_1|\Psi_1\rangle + \langle \Psi_1|V_2 - V_1|\Psi_1\rangle = E_1 + \int d^3r(V_2 - V_1)n(r)$$

$$E_1 - E_2 < \int d^3r(V_1 - V_2)n(r) < E_1 - E_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{contratiction}$$

$$n_1(\vec{r}) = n_2(\vec{r}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_1 = V_2 + \text{constant}$$

Total energy functional

$$E_T[n] = \int V_{ext}(\vec{r})n(\vec{r})d^3r + \frac{1}{2}\iint \frac{n(\vec{r})n(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}d^3rd^3r' + T[n] + E_{xc}[n]$$

P.5

Coulomb energy   Exchange-correlation energy

Minimize E_T subject to the condition $N = \int n(\vec{r})d^3r$ ➡ $n(\vec{r})$

$\dfrac{\delta E_T[n]}{\delta n}$ ➡ $\dfrac{\delta T[n]}{\delta n} + V_{ext}(\vec{r}) + \int \dfrac{n(\vec{r})}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}d^3r + V_{xc}(\vec{r}) = \mu$; $V_{xc}[\vec{r}] = \dfrac{\delta E_{xc}[n]}{\delta n}$

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(\vec{r}) + V_H(\vec{r}) + V_{xc}(\vec{r})\right]\psi_i(\vec{r}) = \varepsilon_i\psi_i(\vec{r})$$

$\dfrac{\delta E_T[n]}{\delta \phi} = \dfrac{\delta E_T[n]}{\delta n}\dfrac{\delta n}{\delta \phi}$

$$n(\vec{r}) = \sum_i^{occ} |\psi_i(\vec{r})|^2$$ Kohn-Sham eq., PRB(1965)

ρ_{in} ➡ $V_{eff}(r)$ ➡ $\varepsilon_i;\psi_i$ ➡ ρ_{out} $(\rho(r) = n(r))$

$$\rho_{in}^{n+1} = (1-\alpha)\rho_{in}^n + \alpha\rho_{out}^n$$

self-consistent scheme

$$E_{xc}\left[n\right] = \int \varepsilon_{xc}\left[n\right]n(\vec{r})d^3r \qquad ; \varepsilon_{xc}\left[n\right] \quad \text{exchange-correlation energy per electron}$$

$$\varepsilon_{xc}\left[n\right] = \varepsilon_{xc}(n(\vec{r})) \qquad \textbf{LDA approximation}$$

$$V_{xc}(r) = \frac{\delta E_{xc}\left[n\right]}{\delta n} = \frac{d}{dn}\{n\varepsilon_{xc}(n)\}$$

Uniform electron gas

W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. B 140, A1133 (1965)

Homogeneous electron gas (plane waves)

Hartree – Fork approx. $\quad \varepsilon_x = -\dfrac{0.458}{r_s} \quad$ *where* $\quad n = \left(\dfrac{4}{3}\pi r_s^3 \right)^{-1}$

Quantum Monte Carlo tech. (Ceperly) $\quad \varepsilon_c = \dfrac{r_s}{1 + \beta_1 \sqrt{r_s} + \beta_2 r_s}$

$$V_{xc}(r) = \frac{d}{dn}\{n\varepsilon_{xc}(n)\}$$

Local spin-density approximation (LSDA)

$$E_{xc}\left[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow\right] = \int n(\vec{r})\varepsilon_{xc}(n_\uparrow(\vec{r}), n_\downarrow(\vec{r}))d^3r; \quad V_{xc,\sigma}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\delta E_{xc}\left[n_\uparrow(\vec{r}), n_\downarrow(\vec{r})\right]}{\delta n_\sigma(\vec{r})}$$

Generalized gradient approximation (GGA)

$$\varepsilon_{xc}\left[n, \vec{\nabla}n\right] = \varepsilon_{xc}(n(\vec{r}), \vec{\nabla}n(\vec{r}))$$

Exchange-correlation functional

$E^{xc}[n]$ accounts for the difference between the exact ground-state energy and the energy calculated in a Hartree approximation and using the non-interacting kinetic energy $T_0[n]$,

$$E^{xc}[n] \equiv T[n] - T_0[n] + U^{xc}[n]$$

$T[n]$, $T_0[n]$ ... exact and non-interacting kinetic energy functional

$U^{xc}[n]$ ... interaction of the electrons with their own exchange-correlation hole n_{xc} defined as (ρ_2 is the two-particle density matrix)

$$\rho_2(\vec{r},s;\vec{r}',s') \equiv n_s(\vec{r})\left(n_{s'}(\vec{r}') + n_{xc}(\vec{r},s;\vec{r}',s')\right)$$

Spin-polarized LDA (LSDA-GL)

$$E^{xc}[n(\vec{r})] = \int n(\vec{r})\varepsilon_{xc}[n(\vec{r})]d^3r,$$

Exchange-functional (for spin-polarized systems, $n(\vec{r},\uparrow) \neq n(\vec{r},\downarrow)$, $n = n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow$)

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_x[n(\vec{r},\uparrow),n(\vec{r},\downarrow)] &= -\frac{3e^2}{4\pi}(3\pi^2)^{1/3}\left\{\frac{n(\vec{r},\uparrow)^{4/3}+n(\vec{r},\downarrow)^{4/3}}{n(\vec{r})}\right\} \\ &= \varepsilon_x^p + (\varepsilon_x^f - \varepsilon_x^p)\frac{(n_\uparrow/n)^{4/3}+(n_\downarrow/n)^{4/3}-(1/2)^{1/3}}{1-(1/2)^{1/3}}\end{aligned}$$

with $\varepsilon_x^p = \varepsilon_x(n_\uparrow = n_\downarrow = n/2)$ for the paramagnetic (non-spinpolarized) and $\varepsilon_x^f = \varepsilon_x(n_\uparrow = n, n_\downarrow = 0)$ for the ferromagnetic (completely spin-polarized) limits of the functional.

Correlation functional $\varepsilon_c[n(\vec{r},\uparrow),n(\vec{r},\downarrow)]$ fitted to the ground-state energy of a homogeneous electron gas calculated using quantum Monte Carlo simulations and similar spin-interpolations.

Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA)

General *semi*local approximation to the exchange-correlation energy as a functional of the density and its gradient to fullfill a maximum number of exact relations,

$$E_{xc}^{GGA}[n_\uparrow, n_\downarrow] = \int d\mathbf{r}\ f(n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}), n_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}), \nabla n_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}), \nabla n_\downarrow(\mathbf{r})),$$

Exchange correlation potential:

$$V_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})] = \frac{\partial E_{xc}[n]}{\partial n(\mathbf{r})} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial E_{xc}[n]}{\partial (\nabla n(\mathbf{r}))}.$$

The gradient of the density is usually determined *numerically*.

GGA-PW91

Exchange energy:

$$E_x^{PW91}[n] = -\int d\mathbf{r}\, n \frac{3k_F}{4\pi} \frac{1 + 0.1965s\sinh^{-1}(7.796s) + (0.274 - 0.151e^{-100s^2})s^2}{1 + 0.1964s\sinh^{-1}(7.796s) + 0.004s^4}$$

Correlation energy:

$$E_c^{PW91}[n] = \int d\mathbf{r}\, n\,(\varepsilon_c(\mathbf{r}_s, \zeta) + H(t, r_s, \zeta))$$

with $k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$, $s = |\nabla n|/2k_F n$, $t = |\nabla n|/2gk_s n$, $g = [(1+\zeta)^{2/3} + (1-\zeta)^{2/3}]/2$, and $k_s = (4k_F/\pi)^{1/2}$.

1-3 First-principles Calculation Method

Si 3s state / Si 3s state (pseudo, all elec.)

(i) Plane Wave : (Pseudopotential Method)

$$u_k(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \sum_n C_n^{(\vec{k})} e^{i\vec{G}_n \cdot \vec{r}}$$

Use pseudopotential to replace the effect of the core electron

(ii) Muffin-Tin Method : LAPW, LMTO, FPLAPW , FPLMTO

all electron

continuous at boundaries

$$\chi_n(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} e^{i\vec{G}_n \cdot \vec{r}} & \text{plane waves} \\ \sum_{n\ell m} A_{n\ell m} R_{n\ell}(r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) & \text{spherical harmonics} \end{cases}$$

(iii) Atomic Orbital : LCAO, Tight Binding Method

$$\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{n\ell m} C_{n\ell m}^{(\vec{k})} \sum_{\vec{R}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} + \vec{R})} \phi_{n\ell m}(\vec{r} - \vec{R})$$

Self-consistent field (SCF) calculations

mixing: Linear, Broyden, …

- $V_H(\mathbf{r})$ and $V_{XC}(\mathbf{r})$ depend on $n(\mathbf{r})$

- $n(\mathbf{r})$ depends on $\{\psi_i(\mathbf{r})\}$

- But we are trying to find $\{\psi_i(\mathbf{r})\}$ and the corresponding energy levels — we need *self-consistency*

Insufficiencies of LDA

- Poor eigenvalues, PRB23, 5048 (1981)
- Lack of derivative discontinuity at integer N, PRL49, 1691 (1982)
- Gaps too small or no gap, PRB44, 943 (1991)
- Spin and orbital moment too small, PRB44, 943 (1991)
- Especially for transition metal oxides

● Band-gap problem:

- HKS theorem not valid for excited states ⟶ ba[nd-gap of]
semiconductors and insulators are always underes[timated]
- Possible solutions: - Hybrid-functionals lead to
- LDA+U, GW, SIC increase correlation gaps

● Overbinding:

- LSDA: too small lattice constants, too large cohesive energies, too high
bulk moduli
- Possible solutions: - GGA: overbinding largely corrected (tendency too
overshoot for the heaviest elements)
- The use of the GGA is mandatory for calculating adsorption energies, but
the choice of the "correct" GGA is important.

● Neglect of strong correlations

- Exchange-splitting underestimated for narrow d- and f-bands

- Many transition-metal compounds are Mott-Hubbard or charge-transfer insulators, but DFT predicts metallic state

- Possible solutions: - Use LDA+U, GW, SIC, …

● Neglect of van-der Waals interactions

- vdW forces arise from mutual dynamical polarization of the interacting atoms $\longrightarrow$ not included in any DFT functional

- Possible solution: - Approximate expression of dipole-dipole vdW forces on the basis of local polarizabilities derived from DFT ??

Attempts on improving LDA

- Self-interaction correction (SIC) PRL65(1990)1148
- Optimized effective potential method (OEP)
- Hartree-Fock (HF) method, PRB48(1993)5058
- Time-dependent density functional (TDDFT)
- Quantum Monte-Carlo method (QMC)
- Dynamical Mean Field Theory (DMFT)
- GW approximation (GWA), PRB46(1992)13051, PRL74(1995)3221
- LDA+Hubbard U (LDA+U) method, PRB44(1991)943, PRB48(1993)16929

LDA+U PRB44(1991)943, PRB48(1993)169

- Delocalized s and p electrons : LDA
- Localized d or f electrons : +U
  using on-site d-d Coulomb interaction
  (Hubbard-like term)
  $$U \sum_{i \neq j} n_i n_j$$
  instead of averaged Coulomb energy
  $$UN(N-1)/2$$

CMS II-2 Hands-on: xmgrace, equilibrium lattice constant, and LDA vs GGA

Horng-Tay Jeng

(鄭弘泰)

Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica

1. login your account, change password of vnc (X-window) server:

%vncpasswd

2. Start vncserver:

%vncserver

………..

Log file is /home/cms1/.vnc/hcserver.cluster:4.log

3. Open vnc viewer on your PC



4. key-in your vnc password

Log file is /home/cms1/.vnc/hcserver.cluster:1.log

# xmgrace

How to use xmgrace to draw lines ?

%xmgrace filename   (default *.dat)

How to import a data file ?

How to set the Titles and the Viewport ?

How to set Line properties
Symbol properties, Legend ?

How to analyze the data ?

How to save the result (*.agr) ?

Grace: Save project

Filter

`/data3/tcleung/optics/si-bulk/*.agr`

Directories

```
/data3/tcleung/optics/si-bulk/.
/data3/tcleung/optics/si-bulk/..
```

Files

`[ .. ]`

Chdir to: Cwd   Set as cwd

Project description:

Data format: `%.8g`

Selection

`/data3/tcleung/optics/si-bulk/jdos.agr`

File	Edit	Data	Plot	V

New	Ctrl+N
Open...	Ctrl+O
Save	Ctrl+S
Save as...	
Revert to saved	
Print setup...	
Print	Ctrl+P
Exit	Ctrl+Q

You can use the following
statement to redraw the results.

%xmgrace jdos.agr

How to print out the result (*.eps) ?

1

2

6

6

File Edit Data Plot View

99]

New	Ctrl+N
Open...	Ctrl+O
Save	Ctrl+S
Save as...	
Revert to saved	
Print setup...	
Print	Ctrl+P
Exit	Ctrl+Q

**Grace: Device setup**

File   Options   Help

3

Device setup

Device: EPS   ▭   Device options...

Output

■ Print to file

4

Print command: lp – c

File name: JDOS.eps   Browse...

Page

Orientation: Landscape ▭   Size: Letter ▭

Dimensions: 3300.00   ×   2550.00   pix ▭

Resolution (dpi):   300

Fonts

☐ Enable font antialiasing

■ Use device fonts

5

Apply   Accept   Close

Optimize lattice constant

Fig 1. Total energy (lower), total and local Cr spin magnetic moments (upper) of CrO_2 as a function of volume, c/a is fixed at 0.664. The total energy is relative to -4805.0 Ry/unit cell. The experimental unit cell volume is 385 a.u.^{3}.

Working list

- Setup bulk calculation for Si(Dia) using sufficient k-points, and experimental lattice structure as input
- Vary the lattice from –5% to 5% and redo the bulk calculation to find out the equilibrium lattice constant of Si(Dia)
- Setup a convergent bulk calculation for Cu(FCC)
- Find out the equilibrium lattice constant for Cu(FCC) using LDA and GGA

Optimize the Si(Dia) lattice constant

- Revise the lattice constant in POSCAR by 5.43*0.99, redo the bulk calculation to obtain the total energy (E0 in OSZICAR)
- Redo 5.43*0.98…, 5.43*0.95, record the total energies
- Redo 5.43*1.01, …, 5.43*1.05, record the total energies
- Plot the energy (E) vs lattice constant (A) plot for Si(Dia) using xmgrace

LDA vs GGA

- Setup a convergent bulk calculation for Cu(FCC) using LDA

- Find the equilibrium lattice constant for Cu(FCC) using LDA

- Redo the calculations using GGA approximation by using GGA pseudopotential (potpaw_GGA) and adding a new line in INCAR:
  GGA = 91

Homework
please Email to jeng@phys.sinica.edu.tw

- Using sufficient k-points to find out the equilibrium lattice constants of Si(Dia) and C(Dia) and compare with the experimental lattice constants

- Make a total energy (E) vs lattice constant (A) plot using xmgrace for Si(Dia) and C(Dia) with LDA and GGA

- Make a E-A plot for Cu(FCC) using LDA and GGA